## Towards a Diachronic Account of P-lability in Latin: The Semantic Extension of the Active Intransitive as an Anticausative Strategy in Latin

Lability refers to the phenomenon in which verbs can show valency alternation without a formal change in verbal morphology (Kulikov \& Lavidas 2014). Patient-preserving lability (abbr. P-lability) refers to the transitive-intransitive alternation with the preservation of the patient-like argument (e.g. tr. I open the door vs. intr. the door opens). In Latin, P-lability is used to express the causative-anticausative alternation (Cennamo \& al. 2015, Gianollo 2014, Pinkster 2015). A case in point is the verb verto ('to turn into'), which can be used transitively in its causative sense (1a) and intransitively in its anticausative sense (1b).
(1)


The number of verbs displaying P-lability was limited in Early and Classical Latin, but heavily increased in Late Latin. Alternative strategies to express the anticausative of originally transitive verbs were the mediopassive (-r morphology) (2a) and the reflexive strategy (reflexive pronoun + active voice) (2b) (Cennamo \& al. 2015, Feltenius 1977, Gianollo 2014, Pinkster 2015).
(2) (a) id enim et in pus vertitur

DEM:NOM.N.SG. indeedalso in pus:ACC.N.SG. turn:IND.PRS.3sG.MPASS.
"It (sc. the wound) turns also into pus"
(Celsus, De medicina, V, 26; first century AD)
(b) cum terra in aquam se
when earth:NOM.F.SG. in water:ACC.F.SG. REFL.ACC.
vertit
turn:IND.PRS.3sG.ACT.
"When earth turns into water"
(Cicero, De natura deorum, III, 31; first century BC)
This paper aims to clarify in which way the unmarked intransitive grammaticalized to a generalized anticausative strategy in Latin. By means of corpus research, we discuss the following factors: Aktionsart, verbal class, agentivity of the anticausative subject, causalness value (= [causative uses]/[anticausative + causative uses]; see Haspelmath 2014 and Heidinger 2015), date and register of the texts.
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