



Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg

Prof. Dr. Aurel Croissant (PERSÖNLICH)

Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

Sehr geehrter Herr Prof. Dr. Croissant,

Sie erhalten hier die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung der Evaluation Ihrer Lehrveranstaltung "Comparative Politics of East and Southeast Asia" im SoSe2018.

In diesem Auswertungsbericht werden die Häufigkeiten sowie Mittelwerte und Standardabweichungen aller einzelnen Fragen aufgelistet.

Für Rückfragen zur Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation oder zu diesem Auswertungsbericht wenden Sie sich bitte an die Mitarbeiter der Servicestelle Befragungen im heiQUALITY-Büro:

<http://www.uni-heidelberg.de/heiquality>


Prof. Dr. Aurel Croissant

Comparative Politics of East and Southeast Asia (PoWi_SoSe18_008)
 Erfasste Fragebögen = 18 (51.4 %)



Legende

Fragetext



1. General questions

1.1) As part of which **study programme** are you attending this course?

B.A. Political Science 75%	<input type="text"/>	61.1%	n=18
B.A. Political Science 50%	<input type="text"/>	22.2%	
B.A. Political Science 25%	<input type="text"/>	0%	
M.A. Political Science (major subject)	<input type="text"/>	0%	
M.A. Political Science (minor subject)	<input type="text"/>	0%	
M.Ed. Political Science	<input type="text"/>	0%	
Political Science / Economics (Lehramt Staatsexamen)	<input type="text"/>	0%	
Others (<i>please write in following box</i>)	<input type="text"/>	16.7%	
not specified	<input type="text"/>	0%	

1.2) If you ticked "Others" at question 1.1, then please indicate your **FIELD OF STUDY** here in **BLOCK LETTERS** (please write only within the box when using a questionnaire on paper):

■ ECONOMICS

■ POLITIKWISSENSCHAFT (2 Nennungen)

1.3) In which **subject-related semester** of this field of study are you currently studying, not counting previous courses of studies?

1 - 2	<input type="text"/>	0%	n=18
3 - 4	<input type="text"/>	50%	
5 - 6	<input type="text"/>	38.9%	
7 - 8	<input type="text"/>	5.6%	
9+	<input type="text"/>	0%	
not specified	<input type="text"/>	5.6%	


1.4) If you are enrolled in either "B.A. Political Science 50%" or "B.Sc. Economics 50%", please specify:

with Teaching Degree option	<input type="text"/>	28.6%	n=7
without Teaching Degree option	<input type="text"/>	42.9%	
not specified	<input type="text"/>	28.6%	


1.5) Is this an **obligatory course** for you?

yes, I have to attend exactly this one .	<input type="text"/>	6.7%	n=15
no, I could attend another one.	<input type="text"/>	86.7%	
not specified	<input type="text"/>	6.7%	


2. Evaluation of the course




3. Workload




4. The seminar




5. The lecturer ...






6. Level of requirements (regarding the following aspects)



7. Concluding questions



Application of theories from former courses to practical empirical examples helps understanding these theories.

+ Since the ~~lecture~~ (is ~~not~~) course is very ~~small~~ small, the interaction is very high

↓ Every lecture should be that size

1. My professor really understand that topic and can explain everything very well.
2. the topic is very interesting to learn about and wrap my head around.

way of teaching

There is no presentation and term paper. It is better to learn the course
The lecturer is ~~so~~ very well-prepared for the course

Bibliography and subjects

PROFESSOR'S EXPERTISE ON THE TOPIC,

- in English and interesting evaluation
- provides a lot of material for further research

- ① The lecturer Prof. Aurel Croissant
- ② The course is in English.

Motivated professor
Excellent combination of theory & empiry

different regional emphasise than other courses at IPW

- BROAD OVERVIEW MIXED WITH SCIENTIFIC PROBLEMS

7.3) What do you particularly not like about this course (two aspects)?

presentation could be updated earlier

Nothing. Great course.

book review and take-home exam for only 2 cp is too much

at times a bit too fast

- not very interactive
- no hint how to write a book review

high work load for little credit (book review + take home exam)
slides not uploaded on time

7.4) What are your specific ideas / suggestions to improve this course, if any?

Would be helpful if you'd give some insights about the test (what type of questions etc.)!

PPT instead of PDF format

None!

upload slides before start of lecture

More bibliography from 3 world countries.

MORE DISCUSSION WITH STUDENTS

- more information about evaluation requirements

Best course I ever took!

PLEASE UPLOAD THE SLIDES ONE DAY IN ADVANCE

- IT WOULD BE INTERESTING TO ACTUALLY ~~HOLD A~~
SEMINAR INSTEAD OF A LECTUR ATTEND


The study commission thanks you for your participation and wishes you successful studies.

Profillinie


Teilbereich: Politikwissenschaft
 Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Dr. Aurel Croissant
 Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Comparative Politics of East and Southeast Asia
 (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert


2. Evaluation of the course




3. Workload




4. The seminar



5. The lecturer ...




5.8) ... explained the criteria, based on which a student shall be graded in this course. completely agree  do not agree at all n=15 mw=1,5 md=1,0 s=0,8

6. Level of requirements (regarding the following aspects)

6.1) Degree of difficulty very high  very low n=17 mw=2,5 md=3,0 s=0,5

6.2) Requirements (e.g. previous knowledge) very high  very low n=18 mw=2,9 md=3,0 s=0,6

7. Concluding questions

7.1) How satisfied are you with this seminar in general? very much  not at all n=18 mw=1,6 md=1,0 s=0,8