

Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg

Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg

Ms [lecturer] / Mr [lecturer] (as private and confidential)

Evaluation Report Course evaluation for lecturers


Dear Ms [lecturer] / Dear Mr [lecturer],

This document contains the results of the evaluation of the course "Sample Course" in WS21/22.

In this evaluation report the frequencies, means and standard deviations of each question are listed.

In case you have any questions concerning the course evaluation or this report, please contact the Evaluation Office in the heiQUALITY Office:

lvb@heiquality.uni-heidelberg.de



						Lecturer, Sample (Course
^{2.2)} The course is clearly structured.	completely agree	99 1 90% 10		0 0%	0	do not agree at all	n=10 av.=1,1 dev.=0,3
 ^{2.3)} The subjects taught are conveyed in an understandable way. 	completely agree) — — — — —) 0 % 0%	4	5 0 0% 5	do not agree at all	n=10 av.=1 dev.=0
^{2.4)} The students' questions and concerns are taken into consideration.	completely agree		1 0 % 0%	0 0%	0 0%	do not agree at all	n=10 av.=1,1 dev.=0,3
^{2.5)} My learning gains are high.	completely agree			4 	5 0 - 0% 0% 0% 0% 5	do not agree at all	n=10 av.=1,4 dev.=1
3. Workload				-			
^{3.1)} In comparison to other courses, my workload for this course is:	very high			2 20%	0 0%	very low	n=10 av.=2,9 dev.=0,9
 ^{3.2)} Compared to the credit points given for this course, my actual workload for it is: (1 CP = 30 hours workload) 	very high		1% 66,7%		0 0% 5	very low	n=9 av.=2,8 dev.=0,8 ab.=1
^{3.3)} How many hours per week do you devote to this cours	se on average (including atte	endance	, prepa	ration,	revision, etc.)?	
	0-2 (40%	n=10
	2-4 (40%	
	4-6 (20%	
4. Concluding questions							
^{4.1)} My overall impression in this course is good.	completely agree			0 0% 4	0 0% 5	do not agree at all	n=10 av.=1,4 dev.=0,5

Page 3

Profile											
Ī	Subunit: Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey)	Sample Unit Lecturer Sample Course	2								
	Values used in the profile line	e: Mean 									
1.	General Questions										
1.5)	How much were you interested in the course BEFORE attending it?	the topic of	very much				very little	n=10	av.=2,5	md=2,0	dev.=1,0
2.	Evaluation of the Cours	se									
2.1)	The aims of the course were clear the beginning.	ly defined at	completely agree				do not agree at all	n=10	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
2.2)	The course is clearly structured.		completely agree				do not agree at all	n=10	av.=1,1	md=1,0	dev.=0,3
2.3)	The subjects taught are conveyed understandable way.	in an	completely agree				do not agree at all	n=10	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
2.4)	The students' questions and concertaken into consideration.	erns are	completely agree				do not agree at all	n=10	av.=1,1	md=1,0	dev.=0,3
2.5)	My learning gains are high.		completely agree				do not agree at all	n=10	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=1,0
3.	Workload										
3.1)	In comparison to other courses, m for this course is:	y workload	very high		•		very low	n=10	av.=2,9	md=3,0	dev.=0,9
3.2)	Compared to the credit points give course, my actual workload for it is hours workload)		very high				very low	n=9	av.=2,8	md=3,0	dev.=0,8
4.	Concluding questions										
4.1)	My overall impression in this cours	se is good.	completely agree				do not agree at all	n=10	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=0,5

Page 4